



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.
5956 SHERRY LANE
SUITE 1400
DALLAS, TX 75225

COPY MAILED

AUG 29 2008

In re Application of :
Jorma Antero :
Application No. 09/454,124 :
Filed: December 3, 1999 :
Attorney Docket No. 40725.830063 :

ON PETITION

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed January 4, 2008, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for a failure to reply in a timely manner to an Office communication mailed February 28, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 5, 2007. On January 4, 2008, the present petition was filed.


There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Guy R. Gosnell appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed Mr. Gosnell, all future correspondence will be directed solely to the address of record.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$1,540; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay¹.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2619 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received February 7, 2008.

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is not made by an attorney of record at the time of abandonment, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and *Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure*; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.



Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: GUY R. GOSNELL
ALSTON AND BIRD LLP
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA
101 SOUTH TRYON ST., STE 4000
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000